

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,244	06/29/2001	Michael Wayne Brown	AUS920000711US1	AUS920000711US1 8612	
75	90 03/24/2006		EXAMINER		
David Victor, Esq			APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ		
	rly Dr., Ste. 210				
Beverly Hills, CA 90212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/895,2	244	BROWN ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Kirsten S	. Apple	3628				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
Period fo								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no e ion. period will apply and y statute, cause the ap	CHIS COMMUNICATION went, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the plication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 June 2001.	_					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	,_							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	e Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo			a)-(d) or (f).				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>		• •		Stogo			
	application from the International B	•		red in this National	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for		· · ·	ed.				
			,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Date	1452)			
inton کے (د Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date	4/17/2003	6) Other:	гасент <del>А</del> рріісасоп (РТС	r-104)			

Application/Control Number: 09/895,244

Art Unit: 3628

# **Detailed Action**

This action is in response to the application filed on 06/29/2001.

#### **Priority**

No claim for priority has been made in this application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belzberg (U.S. Patent 6,047,274) in view of Calabria (Lotus Notes 4.5 and the Internet by Jan Calabria with Sue Plumley)

## Re claim 1: Johnson discloses:

A method for implementing an auction, (see Johnson, abstract, "an action service" comprising:

Receiving a request for bid during a indicated time period (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 27 and Johnson, column 15, line 2-3 "during a particular period of time"))

Receiving a bid (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 29)

Although Johnson does not specifically have an calendar feature, Calabria teaches an "electronic calendar for inviting others" (Page 137-142, specifically 139)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add calendar feature as taught in Calabria to Johnson.

Art Unit: 3628

It is clear that one would be motivated to have flexibility and accurately in specifying services for auction.

Re claim 2: Johnson discloses:

Multiple bids per time slot may be submitted (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 29)

Re claim 3: Johnson discloses:

Determining and accepting most favorable bid in before expiration of bidding period (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 31)

Re claim 4: Johnson discloses:

Notify user and originator of accepted bid (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 35)

Storing accepted bid (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 35)

Re claim 5: Johnson discloses:

Frequency indicating multiple bids per time period

Determining and accepting most favorable multiple bids in before expiration of bidding period (see Johnson, Fig 4, item 34)

Re claim 6: Johnson discloses:

Indicates a time period during which the highest bid will be accepted (see Johnson, Figure 4, item 34 the "decision rule" although Johnson does not specify all possible rule that could be applied the examiner expresses Official Notice that a decision rule known to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention could be for highest bid)

Re claim 7: Johnson discloses:

Time interval is capable of comprising a day, a week, and a month

Re claim 8: Johnson discloses:

Art Unit: 3628

Most favorable bid comprises a lowest amount bid (see Johnson, column 14, line 39-63, specifically 47-53 "the low bid would be selected")

Re claim 9: Johnson discloses:

Accepting each bid having the highest bid amount (see Johnson, Figure 4, item 34 the "decision rule" although Johnson does not specify all possible rule that could be applied the examiner expresses Official Notice that a decision rule known to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention could be for highest bid)

Re claim 10: Calabria discloses:

Scheduled event records used by a PIM (personal information manager) (see Calabria, page 139)

Re claim 11: Calabria discloses:

User can modify, add or further limit scheduled event records (see Calabria, page 139)

Re claim 12: Calabria discloses:

Template displays time slots (see Calabria, page 138, figure 22.1, "Time")

Re claim 13: Calabria discloses:

Scheduled event record provides a description of scheduled event, if unavailable no description (see Calabria, page 138, figure 22.1, "Brief description")

Re claim 14: Johnson discloses:

User comprises one of a service provider seeking bidders (see Johnson, Figure 2, Item 2 multiple EP or service Providers)

Art Unit: 3628

### Re Claim 15

The method claim 1 is similar to claims 15. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that these claim have similar limitation. Therefore, claim 15 is rejected based on the information provided regarding claim 1.

#### Re Claim 16

The method claim 14 is similar to claims 16. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that these claim have similar limitation. Therefore, claim 16 is rejected based on the information provided regarding claim 14.

#### Re Claim 17

The method claim 3 is similar to claim 17. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that these claim have similar limitation. Therefore, claim 17 is rejected based on the information provided regarding claim 3.

#### Re Claims 18-45

The method claims 1-14 are similar to the system claims 18-31 and article of manufacturing claims 32-45. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that these claim have similar limitation. Therefore, claims 18-31 & 32-45 are rejected based on the information provided regarding claims 1-14.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Heissenbuttel et al., U.S. Patent No 6,993,503 B1, discloses purchase ordering for travel related, time sensitive services.

Art Unit: 3628

# **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten S. Apple whose telephone number is 571.272.5588. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be reached on 571.272.6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-6126.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksa

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600